



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,528	01/17/2002	Joseph K. Ollis	13768.237	9287

22913 7590 05/06/2004

WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER &
SEELEY)

60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

PAN, YUWEN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2682

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/051,528

Applicant(s)

OLLIS ET AL.

Examiner

Yuwen Pan

Art Unit

2682

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 9 and 10 objected to because of the following informalities: Bluetooth is permissible to use in a claim as long as it is properly identified and capitalized, and a version number or specific date is specified. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-7, 9-11, and 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gorday et al (US006665521B1).

Per claims 1, 17-19, and 24 are Gorday discloses that in a wireless network that includes a number of wireless devices including a source wireless device capable of transferring items over the wireless network using a plurality of different wireless transfer mechanisms, and including one or more potential destination wireless devices capable of receiving items over the wireless network using at least one of the different wireless transfer mechanisms, a method for facilitating user selection of one or more destination wireless devices from the one or more potential destination wireless devices without requiring that the user of the source wireless device identify a wireless transfer mechanism, the method comprising the following:

an act of the source wireless device presenting the one or more potential destination wireless devices to the user in a unified user interface;

an act of receiving a user selection of one or more destination wireless devices of the one or more potential destination wireless devices; and

an act of automatically, and without user intervention, identifying wireless transfer mechanisms to use when transferring one or more items to each of the one or more selected destination wireless devices (see figure 1 and lines 4-38).

Per claims 2, 4, Gorday further teaches that an act of sending the one or more items to the selected one or more destination wireless devices using the identified wireless transfer mechanisms (see figure 1).

Per claim 3, 5, 6 and 22, 23, Gorday further teaches an act of determining that it is appropriate to send the one or more items to the selected one or more destination wireless devices (see column 3 and lines 15-28).

Per claims 7 and 21, Gorday further teaches that the user identifies the group consisting of partner availability to receive one or more data by using diversity gain.

Per claims 9 and 10, Gorday further teaches that the plurality of wireless transfer mechanisms also includes a Bluetooth wireless transfer mechanism (see column 2 and lines 20-25).

Per claim 11, Gorday further teaches that the wireless transfer mechanism available to each of the presented one or more potential destination wireless device is obscured from user view (see column 3 and lines 15-28).

Per claims 16 and 20, Gorday further teaches that the wireless transfer mechanism available to each of the one or more potential destination wireless devices is identified in the unified user interface by using an audibly distinguishable features of each of the plurality wireless transfer mechanisms and comprise memories (see column 3 and lines 9-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 8, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorday (US006665521B1) in view of Beamish et al (US006694143B1).

Per claim 8, Gorday doesn't teach that the plurality of wireless transfer mechanisms includes one or more infrared wireless transfer mechanisms.

Beamish teaches that the plurality of wireless transfer mechanisms includes one or more infrared wireless transfer mechanisms (see column 2 and lines 1-9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Beamish with Gorday such that the wireless device would be able to interact with other wireless device via infrared wireless transfer mechanism in which is well known in the art that provide short range wireless communication beside Bluetooth.


Per claims 12-15, Beamish further teaches an act of sending all of the one or more items except for at least some of the one or more items to the selected one or more destination wireless device using the identified wireless transfer mechanisms (see column 30-49).


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuwen Pan whose telephone number is 703-305-7372. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Yuwen Pan
May 3, 2004


VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

5/3/04